## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LINDA MIGLIORI, FRANCIS J. FOX, RICHARD E. RICHARDS, KENNETH RINGER, and SERGIO RIVAS,

No. 5:22-cv-00397

Plaintiffs,

and

ZACHARY COHEN,

Intervenor- Plaintiff,

v.

LEHIGH COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

Defendant,

and

DAVID RITTER,

Intervenor-Defendant.

## STATEMENT AS TO PLAINTIFFS' PETITION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES FILED BY LEHIGH COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS

The Lehigh County Board of Elections ("Board"), above-captioned Defendant, by and though its undersigned counsel, respectfully submits this Statement as to Plaintiff's Petition for Attorneys' Fees filed by Linda Migliori, Francis J. Fox, Richard E. Richards, Kenneth Ringer, and Sergio Rivas, above-captioned Plaintiffs, as directed by this Court's Order dated October 20, 2023 (ECF No. 90):

Plaintiff's fee petition should be denied because although Plaintiffs obtained temporary relief in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, the United States Supreme Court vacated the relief obtained, which resulted in the Court taxing costs against Plaintiffs. As such, the Board Case 5:22-cv-00397-JLS Document 91 Filed 10/24/23 Page 2 of 3

respectfully submits the Plaintiffs are not entitled to an award of attorney fees as they are not

prevailing parties and, even if they were prevailing parties—which they were not—the attorney

fees award sought by Plaintiffs is unreasonable and equitably unjustifiable.

To that end, the fees sought by Plaintiffs against the Board, a local government entity—

which total approximately \$250,000 (in addition to the \$250,000 in fees sought by Plaintiffs for

litigating this matter before the Third Circuit, for a total of approximately \$500,000) for drafting

briefs and attending oral argument in a case that did not involve a trial nor a single evidentiary

hearing—is unreasonable and excessive. Therefore, for the reasons set forth more fully in the

Board's opposition to Plaintiffs' petition for attorney fees, the Court should significantly reduce

the requested fees or limit the fees to a nominal award, particularly considering the narrow relief

Plaintiffs obtained here, which consisted of ephemeral success on a single count of their three-

count complaint. In any event, a hearing is necessary regarding the unreasonableness and

excessiveness of Plaintiff's request for attorney's fees.

Respectfully Submitted,

Repka Mazin, LLC

Date: October 24, 2023

By: Lucas J. Repka

Lucas J. Repka

Pa. I.D. No. 93509

108 East Center Street

Nazareth, Pennsylvania 18064

Phone: (610) 365-2670

Email: Lucas@repkamazinlaw.com

Counsel for the Lehigh County Board of

Elections, Defendant

2

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LINDA MIGLIORI, FRANCIS J. FOX, RICHARD E. RICHARDS, KENNETH RINGER, and SERGIO RIVAS,

No. 5:22-cv-00397

Plaintiffs,

:

and

:

ZACHARY COHEN,

•

Intervenor- Plaintiff,

:

v.

•

LEHIGH COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

Defendant,

and

:

DAVID RITTER,

:

Intervenor-Defendant.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the Answer to Petition for Attorneys' Fees was served on all parties of record via the Court's CM/ECF system on the date set forth below.

Date: October 24, 2023 By: Lucas J. Repka

Lucas J. Repka
Pa. I.D. No. 93509
108 East Center Street

Nazareth, Pennsylvania 18064

Phone: (610) 365-2670

Email: <u>Lucas@repkamazinlaw.com</u> Counsel for the Lehigh County Board of

Elections, Defendant